

Economic efficiency of loblolly pine forests under changing climatic conditions

Andres Susaeta¹, Damian Adams¹, Douglas Carter¹, Carlos Gonzalez-Benecke², Puneet Dwivedi³

¹School of Forest Resources and Conservation, University of Florida-IFAS, Gainesville, FL, USA

²Department of Forest Engineering, Resources and Management, Oregon State University, OR

³Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, GA



BACKGROUND

- U.S. South: forests provide 62% of national timber harvested (Smith et al., 2009), can sequester 23% of regional emissions of greenhouse gases (Han et al., 2007), produce 34% of the regional water yield (Lockaby et al., 2013), and host over 1000 native terrestrial vertebrates with highest species richness.
- These changing climate conditions are expected to significantly affect the production and sustainability of forest-based ecosystem services

RESEARCH GOAL

- The main goal of this study is to economically assess the provision timber production, carbon sequestration, and biodiversity – from loblolly pine forest (*Pinus taeda L.*) plots in the state of Florida under climate change

METHODOLOGY

- A non-parametric approach known as data envelopment analysis (DEA) (Charnes et al., 1978) for the estimation of the economic revenues of ES associated with loblolly pine forests.
- DEA applied to loblolly forest plots in FL.
- Inputs: site index, age of the forest stand, number of tree per plot, average maximum and minimum temperatures, total annual precipitation
- Outputs: timber production, carbon sequestration, species richness
- Input costs: fertilization costs, planting costs
- Output prices: stumpage prices, carbon prices, WTP for species richness.

MODEL SPECIFICATION

- Each j forest plot needs the same x inputs v_{ij} to produce the same y outputs q_{rj}

$$\text{Max } \sum_{r=1}^y p_r z_{rk}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^x c_i z_{ik}^-$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_{rj} \lambda_j - z_{rk}^+ = q_{rk} \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, y)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n v_{ij} \lambda_j + z_{ik}^- = v_{ik} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, x)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1$$

$$z_{rk}^+ \leq \alpha_r q_{rk} \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, y)$$

$$z_{ik}^- \leq \beta_i v_{io} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, x)$$

$$\lambda_j \geq 0, \forall j; z_{ik}^-, z_{rk}^+ \text{ free } \forall i, r$$

- Where λ_j represents the shadow price, z_{rk}^+ , z_{ik}^- represent the output shortfalls and input excesses, α_r, β_i represent the degree of discretion to outputs and inputs. p_r is the unit price to the output slacks \hat{z}_{rk}^+ and c_i is the unit costs to the input slack \hat{z}_{ik}^- .

APPLICATION TO LOBLOLLY PINE STANDS

Economics

Stumpage prices: \$34.2 m⁻³ \$27.1 m⁻³ and \$17.8 m⁻³ for sawtimber, chip and saw, and pulpwood
 Carbon price: \$12.5 Mg⁻¹ CO₂e
 Tree species richness: \$6 plot⁻¹
 Site index: \$2.4 m⁻¹
 Planting cost: \$0.1 seedling⁻¹

β for climatic variables and age of trees =0; $\beta=0.5$ for site index; $\alpha = \infty$ for outputs

Climatic Scenarios

Three climatic scenarios: Scenario A (Baseline), Scenario B1 (RCP4.5) and Scenario B2 (RCP8.5)

RESULTS

Efficiency analysis	Scenario A	Scenario B ₁	Scenario B ₂
Number of totally efficient loblolly plots	28	21	21
Profit analysis	\$ plot ⁻¹ (\$ ha ⁻¹)		
Average profit (initial conditions)	77.6 (1141)	107.8 (1585)	109.9 (1617)
Average foregone total profit	2.2 (32)	3.1 (46)	3.1 (46)
Optimal average total profit	79.8 (1174)	110.9 (1631)	113 (1661.8)

- Most of the forest plots show a positive profitability regardless of the climatic scenario
- The average profitability of the forest plots increases with moderate (*Scenario B₁*) and high (*Scenario B₂*) changes in climatic conditions.
- Compared to the initial conditions for *Scenario A*, moderate and high changes in climatic conditions increase the profitability of forestlands by 42.8% and 45.6%, respectively.

Total foregone profit π range \$ plot ⁻¹ (\$ ha ⁻¹)	Number of plots		
$\pi = 0$	21	21	21
$0 < \pi < 10$ ($0 < \pi < 147.1$)	3	2	2
$10 < \pi < 20$ ($147.1 < \pi < 294.1$)	4	4	4
$\pi > 20$ ($\pi > 294.1$)	0	1	1

- On average, the total foregone profits are \$2.2 plot⁻¹ (\$32.4 ha⁻¹) for *Scenario A*, and \$3.1 plot⁻¹ (\$45.6 ha⁻¹) for *Scenarios B₁* and *B₂*.
- In *Scenarios A, B₁* and *B₂*, 75% (21) of forest plots show zero total foregone profits.